

1 Bradford F. Englander (Ca. Bar # 122108)  
2 3190 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 300  
3 Falls Church, Virginia 22042  
4 (703) 280-9081  
5 (703) 280-3370 (facsimile)  
6 E-mail: [benglander@wtplaw.com](mailto:benglander@wtplaw.com)

7  
8 Attorneys for the Official Committee of  
9 Unsecured Creditors

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

<b>In re:</b>	*	Case No.: 11-31376
<b>HOWREY LLP,</b>	*	Chapter 11
<b>Debtor.</b>	*	Date:
	*	Time:
	*	Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court
	*	230 Pine Street
	*	San Francisco, CA
* * * * *	*	Judge: Dennis J. Montali

**EX PARTE APPLICATION PURSUANT TO  
BANKRUPTCY RULE 2004 FOR ORDERS AUTHORIZING EXAMINATIONS  
OF ARENT FOX LLP AND MARTIN CUNNIFF**

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee"), pursuant to its authority under the Court's December 24, 2012, *Order Granting Joint Motion of the Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors and Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee, Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 1103(c), and 1109(b) for Entry of an Order Granting Leave, Standing, and Authority to Committee to Prosecute and Settle Causes of Action on Behalf of the Debtor's Estate*, hereby submits this *Ex Parte Application Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 for Orders Authorizing Examinations of Arent Fox LLP and Martin Cunniff* (the "Application") to secure orders requiring Arent Fox LLP ("Arent Fox") and Martin Cunniff ("Mr. Cunniff," and together

1 with Arent Fox, the “Parties”) to produce documents and appear for examination, as set forth in  
2 the form subpoena attached hereto as Exhibit A.

### 3 4 **I. Relief Requested**

5 Pursuant to Rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (“Rule 2004”), and  
6 Bankruptcy Local Rule 2004-1(a), the Committee requests authority to subpoena the Parties to  
7 produce documents and appear for depositions so that it may better understand the value of certain  
8 estate assets. The Committee specifically seeks discovery from the Parties concerning the transfer  
9 of Howrey clients and client matters to Arent Fox, the compensation paid to Arent Fox for the  
10 work that it performed for Howrey’s former clients, and the compensation paid to Arent Fox for  
11 work performed by Mr. Cunniff as a member of Howrey’s Dissolution Committee.  
12

13 As a first phase, the Committee intends for the Parties to produce the documents in the  
14 form “Document Request” attached hereto as Exhibit A, which would accompany a subpoena to  
15 each of the Parties. As a second phase, the Committee intends for the custodians of records of  
16 Arent Fox to appear for examination by oral deposition with respect to the subject matter of the  
17 writings described in the Document Request.  
18

### 19 **II. Factual Background**

20 Mr. Cunniff was formerly a partner at Howrey. According to Howrey’s books and records,  
21 Mr. Cunniff was the originating or relationship partner with regard to certain Howrey clients. Mr.  
22 Cunniff now practices at Arent Fox. Mr. Cunniff was working on active matters on behalf of  
23 clients of Howrey at the time that he left Howrey and transitioned to Arent Fox. The Committee  
24 has a good faith basis for believing that Arent Fox may be providing and/or has provided legal  
25 services to former Howrey clients on matters originated while Mr. Cunniff was at Howrey, and for  
26 believing that Arent Fox has been compensated for those services.  
27  
28

1 Mr. Cunniff also served as a member of Howrey's Dissolution Committee. The  
2 Committee has a good faith basis for believing that Mr. Cunniff continued to serve on the  
3 Dissolution Committee after leaving Howrey and joining Arent Fox, and that Arent Fox was  
4 compensated for services provided by Mr. Cunniff to the Dissolution Committee.  
5

### 6 **III. The Committee is Entitled to the Requested Relief**

7 The Committee seeks information concerning pre-petition Howrey client engagements and  
8 matters that were transferred to Arent Fox. The Committee seeks this information to assist it in  
9 analyzing the property of Howrey and in the administration of this chapter 11 estate.  
10

11 The requested information is well within the scope of examination permitted under Rule  
12 2004, which provides for discovery regarding "the acts, conduct, or property or to the liabilities  
13 and financial condition of the debtor, or to any matter which may affect the administration of the  
14 debtor's estate, or to the debtor's right to a discharge. . . ." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2004(b). Rule  
15 2004(c) further provides that "[t]he attendance of an entity for examination and the production of  
16 documentary evidence may be compelled [by subpoena pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9016]." The  
17 scope of a Rule 2004 examination is "unfettered and broad" and has been termed "a quick 'fishing  
18 expedition.'" *In re Dinublio*, 177 B.R. 932, 939-40 (E.D. Cal. 1993). A Rule 2004 examination  
19 may properly extend to creditors and third parties "who have had dealings with the debtor." *In re*  
20 *Financial Corp. of Am.*, 119 B.R. 728, 733 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990); *In re GHR Companies, Inc.*,  
21 41 B.R. 655, 660 (Bankr. D. Mass. 1984); *In re JohnsManville Corp.*, 42 B.R. 362, 364 (S.D.N.Y.  
22 1984) (stating that Rule 2004 examinations may "cut a broad swath through the debtor's affairs,  
23 those associated with him, and those who might have had business dealings with him") (citation  
24 omitted).  
25  
26  
27  
28

Moreover, Rule 2004 examinations are appropriate to assist the Committee in determining whether to initiate a cause of action against Arent Fox. *See, e.g., In re ECAM Publ'ns, Inc.*, 131 B.R. 556, 560 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (one purpose of a Rule 2004 examination is “to determine whether there are grounds to bring an action to recover property of the estate”); *In re Table Talk, Inc.*, 51 B.R. 143, 146 (Bankr. D. Mass. 1985) (party may use Rule 2004 to investigate potential claims). The standard for determining the propriety of a discovery request under Rule 2004 is “good cause.” *See, e.g., In re Grabill Corp.*, 109 B.R. 329, 334 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1989).

The Committee has “good cause” for the requested Rule 2004 discovery. The Committee may have claims against Arent Fox, including possible avoidance actions under the Bankruptcy Code and “unfinished business” claims under *Jewel v. Boxer*, 156 Cal. App. 3d 171 (1984). *See In re Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP*, 408 B.R. 318 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2009); *Heller Ehrman LLP v. Arnold & Porter LLP (In re Heller Ehrman LLP)*, Adv. No. 10-3203DM, 2011 WL 1539796 (Bankr. N.D. Cal., April 22, 2011). Information regarding whether Arent Fox in fact completed unfinished business relating to cases handled by Mr. Cunniff, whether Arent Fox received compensation for the work Mr. Cunniff performed, and whether Arent Fox received compensation for Mr. Cunniff’s service on the Dissolution Committee is within the knowledge of Arent Fox, and the present discovery requests are an expeditious and sensible way to investigate Arent Fox’s liabilities to the Debtor.

No previous application for the relief sought herein has been made to this or any other Court.

By obtaining the information sought through the Rule 2004 process, the Committee hopes to resolve consensually any potential claims regarding Arent Fox’s receipt of fees from Howrey and/or its former clients, and/or to initiate any appropriate legal proceedings.

1 **IV. Conclusion**

2 As this Application is properly made on an ex parte basis under Local Rule 2004-1(a), the  
3 Committee respectfully requests this Court immediately take notice of the Application and enter  
4 an order substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, granting the relief requested herein  
5 and such other and further relief as may be just.  
6

7  
8 Respectfully Submitted,  
9

10 Dated: June 7, 2013

11 /s/ Bradford F. Englander

12 Bradford F. Englander (Ca. Bar # 122108)

13 Whiteford, Taylor & Preston, LLP

14 3190 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 300

15 Falls Church, Virginia 22042

16 (703) 280-9081

17 (703) 280-3370 (facsimile)

18 E-mail: benglander@wtplaw.com

19 *Attorneys for the Official Committee of Unsecured*  
20 *Creditors*  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# EXHIBIT A

1 **DOCUMENT REQUEST**

2 **I. Definitions**

3 1. "Howrey" shall mean Howrey LLP and all of its agents, partners, members,  
4 employees, officers, affiliates, shareholders, representatives, or others acting or authorized to act  
5 on its behalf.  
6

7 2. "Dissolution Committee" refers to the committee appointed to oversee and govern  
8 the winding-up and liquidation of Howrey's business and affairs pursuant to the Resolutions of the  
9 Executive Committee of Howrey LLP adopted on or about March 9, 2011.  
10

11 3. "Document" includes every manner by which information is recorded or  
12 transmitted, including electronically recorded or transmitted information.

13 4. "Engagement Agreements" refers to any written agreement setting forth the terms  
14 under which legal representation will be provided.  
15

16 5. "Former Howrey Client" means all clients that were formerly clients of Howrey  
17 and who became Your clients at or around the time Mr. Cunniff joined You.

18 6. "Invoices" means any periodic statements sent by You to a client requesting  
19 payment for services rendered, including the individual time entries made by attorneys and other  
20 professionals describing the services they provided.  
21

22 7. "Former Howrey Matter" means any legal matter, case, litigation, or transaction on  
23 which You are providing or have provided legal services for a Former Howrey Client.

24 8. "Mr. Cunniff" means Martin Cunniff.

25 9. "You" and "Your" refer to Arent Fox LLP, and all of its agents, partners, members,  
26 employees, officers, affiliates, shareholders, representatives, or others acting or authorized to act  
27 on its behalf.  
28

## II. Instructions

1. The following document requests are to be responded to fully, by furnishing all information in your possession, custody or control. Your having possession, custody, or control of a document includes your having a right, superior to other parties, to compel the production of such document from a third party, such as your agent, employee, representative, or, unless privileged, attorney.

2. If any document requested herein has been lost, discarded, or destroyed, the document so lost, discarded or destroyed should be identified as completely as possible, including without limitation, the date the document was lost, discarded, or destroyed, the manner in which the document was lost, discarded, or destroyed, the reason(s) the document was lost, discarded, or destroyed, the person who authorized that the document be destroyed or discarded, and the person who lost, discarded, or destroyed the document.

3. If you cannot produce a document because it no longer exists or is no longer in your possession, custody, or control, please identify that document by: (a) its title; (b) its nature (for example, a "letter" or "e-mail"); (c) the date it was created or sent; (d) its author(s) and signator(y/ies); (e) any of its recipient(s); (f) the last place it was known to have been located; (g) the circumstances under which it ceased to exist or passed from your possession, custody, or control; and (h) the identity and last known residence and business address of any person who had knowledge of its existence and location.

4. Produce each requested document in its entirety, including all attachments and enclosures, even if only a portion of the document is responsive to the request.

5. If you withhold from production any document (or portion of any document) that is otherwise responsive to a request on the basis of a claim of privilege, work product, or other



1 ground, you must provide sufficient information regarding the withheld document to permit the  
2 Court and the parties to evaluate the propriety of your objection. Specifically, you must identify:  
3 (a) the name and title of the author(s) of the document; (b) the name and title of each person to  
4 whom the document was addressed; (c) the name and title of each person to whom the document  
5 was distributed; (d) the name and title of each person to whom the document was disclosed, in  
6 whole or in part; (e) the type of document (e.g., “memorandum” or “report”); (f) the subject matter  
7 of the document; (g) the purpose(s) of the document; (g) the date on the document and, if  
8 different, the date on which the document was created and/or sent; (h) the number of pages of the  
9 document; (i) the specific request herein to which the document is responsive; (j) the nature of the  
10 privilege(s) asserted as to the document; and (k) a detailed, specific explanation as to why the  
11 document is privileged or otherwise immune from discovery, including a presentation of all  
12 factual grounds and legal analyses.

13  
14  
15 6. If any requested document cannot be produced in full, produce it to the extent  
16 possible, indicating what is being withheld and the reason it is being withheld.

17  
18 7. This request is a continuing one that calls for the supplemental or additional  
19 production of documents if any defendant or its counsel obtains supplemental or additional  
20 documents.

21  
22 8. In responding to the requests below: (a) the disjunctive shall also be read to include  
23 the conjunctive and vice versa; (b) “including” shall be read to mean “including without  
24 limitation;” (c) the singular shall also be read to include the plural and vice versa; (d) the present  
25 shall also be read as if the past tense and vice versa; (e) “any” shall be read to include “all” and  
26 vice versa; and (f) “and” shall be read to include “or” and vice versa.  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## Exhibit B

1 Bradford F. Englander (Ca. Bar # 122108)  
2 3190 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 300  
3 Falls Church, Virginia 22042  
4 (703) 280-9081  
5 (703) 280-3370 (facsimile)  
6 E-mail: [benglander@wtplaw.com](mailto:benglander@wtplaw.com)

7  
8 Attorneys for the Official Committee of  
9 Unsecured Creditors

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**In re:** \* **Case No.: 11-31376**  
**HOWREY LLP,** \* **Chapter 11**  
**Debtor.** \*  
\* \* \* \* \*

**ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE APPLICATION PURSUANT TO  
BANKRUPTCY RULE 2004 FOR ORDERS AUTHORIZING EXAMINATIONS  
OF ARENT FOX LLP AND MARTIN CUNNIFF**

Upon the *Ex Parte Application Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 for Orders Authorizing  
Examinations of Arent Fox LLP and Martin Cunniff* (the “Application”) filed by the Official  
Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”), and good cause appearing therefor:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Committee is authorized to issue a subpoena to Arent  
Fox and Martin Cunniff (together, the “Parties”) for the production of documents, as described in  
Exhibit A to the Application; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Parties must, within twenty-one days of the  
Committee’s service of a subpoena requiring the production the documents described in Exhibit A  
to the Application, produce such documents and allow the Committee and its agents and attorneys  
the opportunity to inspect and copy any documents produced; and

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Committee is authorized to issue a subpoena to  
2 Arent Fox for the oral examination of its custodian of records to testify concerning the documents  
3 described in Exhibit A to the Application; and  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arent Fox must, within twenty-one days of the  
6 Committee's service of a subpoena for the examination of a custodian of records, or at such time  
7 as is agreed to by counsel, present a custodian of records to testify concerning the documents  
8 described in Exhibit A to the Application.  
9

10  
11 **\*\* END OF ORDER \*\***  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

61444